UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,504	12/13/2005	Takamitsu Saito	040302-0532	5658
	7590 08/23/201 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	T NIU	ARCIERO, ADAM A		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/23/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/560,504	SAITO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	ADAM A. ARCIERO	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 August 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	021160
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett	isideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or	or form for appear by materially rec	idoling of onlipinging th	10 100000 101
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-13</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	. In a face of a contract of filling a Nic	4:f Anna-1:II mak	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Dah-Wei D. Yuan/	/Adam A Arciero/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795	Examiner, Art Unit 1795		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed on August 09, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's principle arguments are:

- a) the fines and the non-fines mixutre of Ochoa does not provide a secondary battery comprising an electrode layer formed on the current collector and including a plurality of kinds of active materials, different in electrical characteristic, the electrode layer being structured such that graphics associated with the plurlaity of kinds of active materials are located on discrete areas of the current collector (claim 14).
- b) Miyazaki does not remedy the deficienies of Ochoa (claim 14).

In response to Applicant's arguments, please consider the following comments:

- a) Ochoa et al. clearly discloses an electrode layer comprising two different kidns of active materials which are composed of different particle sizes as recognized by the Applicant, however they can also be of different compositions and materials having different electrical characteristics (col. 5, lines 4-15). Ochoa et al. further discloses how the first active material is sparsly formed on the current collector and then the second active material layer is disposed onto said first active material layer and the current collector (col. 6, line 43 to col. 7, line 15). The two kinds of active materials deposited onto the currnet collector of Ochoa et al. defines the electrode layer comprising at least two different kinds of active materials. Furthermore, in response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).
- b) Miyazaki teaches a method of using an ink-jet printer to form an electrode layer onto a current collector (Abstract). Miyazaki was used to remedy the deficienes of Ochoa et al. by providing motivation to form the electrode layer (active materials of Ochoa) onto discrete areas of the current collector, so that a reduction in the loss of active material applied by coating the current collector is achieved as well as providing an accurately coated pattern at a relatively high rate of speed. Miyazaki teaches that ink-jet printing is a common method used in the battery technology for depositing active materials onto metallic current collectors.